

United States Court of Appeals, Ninth Circuit.
UNITED STATES of America, on its own behalf and on behalf of the Chemehuevi
Indian Tribe, Plaintiff-Appellee,

v.

Ron JORGENSEN; Marilyn Jorgensen, Defendants-Appellants.
UNITED STATES of America, Plaintiff-Appellee,

v.

Dennis CRAVENS; Mollie Cravens, Defendants-Appellants.
UNITED STATES of America, Plaintiff-Appellee,

v.

James WHITED, Defendant-Appellant.
UNITED STATES of America, Plaintiff-Appellee,

v.

George BARTLETT, Defendant-Appellant.
UNITED STATES of America, Plaintiff-Appellee,

v.

Alvin ZIEGLER; Charles Ziegler, Defendants-Appellants.
UNITED STATES of America, Plaintiff-Appellee,

v.

George HENNES; Beth Hennes, Defendants-Appellants.
UNITED STATES of America, Plaintiff-Appellee,

v.

Thomas BREZINSKI; Kim Brezinski, Defendants-Appellants.
UNITED STATES of America, Plaintiff-Appellee,

v.

James EVANS; Carolyn Evans, Defendants-Appellants.
UNITED STATES of America, on its own behalf and on behalf of the Chemehuevi
Indian Tribe, Plaintiff-Appellee,

v.

Arnold WINNOR; Dianna Winnor, Defendants-Appellants.
UNITED STATES of America, Plaintiff-Appellee,

v.

Dorothy SMITH, Defendants-Appellants.
Nos. 93-55296, 93-55298, 93-55300, 93-55302, 93-55304, 93-55308, 93-55310, 93-
55311, 93-55314, 93-55315.

Argued and Submitted June 3, 1997

Decided June 27, 1997. Appeals from the United States District Court for the
Central District of California, CV-92-03819-TJH; Terry J. Hatter, Jr. District
Judge, Presiding.

Before [SCHROEDER](#), [BRUNETTI](#), and [O'SCANNLAIN](#), Circuit Judges.

MEMORANDUM [\[FN*\]](#)

[FN*](#) This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to
or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir.R. 36-3.

****1** Defendants-Appellants, residents of the Havasu Landing Resort mobile home park, appeal

the district court's order of ejectment. We affirm.

Defendants do not dispute that the land in question is owned by the federal government. It is also undisputed that the plaintiffs have no current lease. The record is void of any indication that defendants have any possessory interest in the land.

Defendants contend that the tribe was forever divested of beneficial ownership of the land in 1941. A tenant in possession, however, is estopped from contesting the landlord's title in an ejectment action. See Restatement (Second) of Property (Landlord and Tenant) § 4.3 cmt.b (1976). Moreover, it is undisputed that the United States has title, whether or not it is held in trust for the tribe.

The district court's ejectment order was proper.

AFFIRMED.

C.A.9 (Cal.),1997.

U.S. v. Jorgensen

116 F.3d 1487 (Table), 1997 WL 355849 (9th Cir.(Cal.)) Unpublished Disposition